

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,465	09/05/2003	Norbert Moszner	20959/2130 (P 63013)	8449	
Nixon Peabody	7590 12/28/2007 LLP		EXAM	INER	
Clinton Square P.O.Box 31051 Rochester, NY 14603-1051			BUMGARNER, MELBA N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, IV I	Rochester, 14 1 14005-1051		3732		
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/656,465	MOSZNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melba Bumgarner	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Oc	ctober 1707					
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 24</u> is/are pending in the app	lication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 and 24 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		· .			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.		•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, ,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
AMach and/a)						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-412)				
2) Delice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
C. Detact and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/656,465 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: recitation of "the surface" lacks sufficient antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11, 16, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cornell (3,265,202). Cornell discloses a flexible dental polymer film comprising a non fiber-reinforced flexible film layer which comprises polymerizable groups capable of further polymerization, which film can be shaped around a tooth and cured by polymerization (column 1 line 16, column 1 line 37). The film contains an acrylate or methacrylate group. At least a part of the polymerizable groups is radically polymerizable. At least a part of the polymerizable groups is cationically polymerizable. The methacrylate ester can be called a Michael addition resin. The film can comprise an initiator (column 9 line 50), organic fillers (column 9 line 17), polymerization inhibitors (column 7 line 34), pigments (column 9 line 72), and active substance (column 9 line 35). The film is detachably held on a carrier film, the carrier film being translucent, such that it can be polyethylene.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,465

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cornell in view of Mitra et al. (5,154,762). Cornell discloses a dental film that shows the limitations as described above; however, Cornell does not show the initiator in microencapsulated form. Mitra et al. teach a dental polymer comprising an initiator in microencapsulated form. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the film to have microencapsulated initiator in order to enhance shelf stability in view of Mitra et al.
- 6. Claims 10, 12, 13, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cornell in view of Prasad et al. (6,039,569). Cornell discloses a dental film that shows the limitations as described above; however, Cornell does not show the film comprising an antioxidant. Prasad et al. teach a dental film having an antioxidant (column 3 line 49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the film to have a known additive such as an antioxidant in the film for enhanced antioxidant properties. Cornell shows the film having two sides, Prasad et al. teach the film having the side facing the tooth surface coated with a primer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a coating of primer to promote adhesion of the film to the tooth surface. Prasad et al. teach a dental film and adhesive. It would have been obvious to include adhesive to the tooth surface to improve wetting and adhesion of the film to the surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,465

Art Unit: 3732

7. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cornell in view of Vallittu et al. (6,197,410) Cornell discloses a dental film that shows the limitations as described above and having two sides; however, Cornell does not show the film coated with antiadhesive additive. Vallittu et al. teach a dental film with polymeric material coating which is not adhesive in quality on the side facing away from the tooth surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to call the polymeric material of an anti-adhesive additive and to have such a coating to improve cosmetic qualities in view of Vallittu et al.

Page 4

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cornell in view of Karazivan (WO 01/93774). Cornell discloses a dental film that shows the limitations as described above; however, Cornell does not show the carrier film of an inflatable film bag. Karazivan teaches the film detachably held on a carrier film in the form of an inflatable film bag (page 12 line 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the film of Cornell having the carrier film of Karazivan in order to better adapt the dental film to the applied surface.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melba Bumgarner whose telephone number is 571-272-4709. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,465

Art Unit: 3732

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriquez can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melba Bumgarner

elba Burnguiner

Primary Examiner